

A700015

MIT LIBRARIES  
3 9080 02754 1595

#1



V393  
.R46

NAVY DEPARTMENT  
THE DAVID W. TAYLOR MODEL BASIN  
WASHINGTON 7, D.C.

THE DEAERATION OF WATER BY A SOUND BEAM

by

Leonard Pode, M.S.



May 1953

Report 854

U



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
NOTATION . . . . .	11
ABSTRACT . . . . .	1
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
THE EQUATION OF MOTION OF THE BOUNDARY OF THE BUBBLE . . . . .	3
THE BOUNDARY CONDITION FOR THE DIFFUSION EQUATION . . . . .	4
THE SOLUTION OF THE DIFFUSION EQUATION . . . . .	7
EVALUATION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE SOLUTION . . . . .	11
ACKNOWLEDGMENT. . . . .	14
APPENDIX I - COMPARISON WITH BLAKE'S CALCULATION . . . . .	15
APPENDIX II - THE EXTRAPOLATION OF THE BOUNDARY CONDITION TO $R_0$ . . . . .	17
APPENDIX III - EVALUATION OF $I_0$ . . . . .	18
REFERENCES . . . . .	20

## NOTATION

$a_0$	$a_0 = \frac{-1}{3\gamma\left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right)}$
$a_1$	$a_1 = \left(3\gamma + 1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right) \frac{a_0^2}{4}$
$a_2$	$a_2 = \frac{\left(3\gamma + 1 + 5 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right) \frac{a_0^2}{4}}{\left(4 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2} - 1\right)}$
$c; c(r, t)$	The concentration of air in the water at an arbitrary point and time
$c_\infty; c(\infty, t)$	The concentration of air in the water at infinity; also the concentration of air in water when the water is in equilibrium with air at pressure $P_0$ and temperature $T_0$
$c_p$	The specific heat for air under constant pressure
$c_v$	The specific heat for air under constant volume
$D$	The diffusion constant for air in water
$F$	$F = \frac{R_0}{r} e^{-V\left(\frac{r}{R_0} - 1\right)} \cos V\left(\frac{r}{R_0} - 1\right)$
$G$	$G = \frac{R_0}{r} e^{-V\left(\frac{r}{R_0} - 1\right)} \sin V\left(\frac{r}{R_0} - 1\right)$
$I_0; I_0(V)$	$I_0(V) = \int_1^\infty e^{-V(x-1)} x^{-3} \sin V(x-1) dx$
$k$	The Boltzmann constant
$KE$	The kinetic energy of the motion of the water
$m$	The average molecular mass for air
$N$	Number of molecules in the bubble
$N_0$	The initial number of molecules in the bubble

$\frac{d}{dt} N_L$	The rate at which molecules leave the bubble and enter the water
$\frac{d}{dt} N_G$	The rate at which molecules stream away from the water surface and enter the bubble
$n$	The molecular density of the air
$n_0$	The molecular density for air at pressure $P_0$ and temperature $T_0$
$P_s$	The pressure in the bubble
$P_0$	The undisturbed hydrostatic pressure; the average pressure at infinity
$P_s$	The sound pressure
$P$	The pressure in the water at infinity
$p$	The relative amplitude of the sound pressure; $P_s = pP_0 \sin \omega t$
$R$	The instantaneous radius of the bubble
$\dot{R}$	The time rate of change of $R$
$R_0$	The equilibrium radius of the bubble
$R_\omega$	The resonant radius at angular frequency $\omega$
$r$	The distance from the center of the bubble to a point in the water
$T$	The temperature of the air in bubble, Kelvin
$T_0$	The constant temperature of the water, Kelvin
$t$	Time
$v$	The radial velocity of the fluid at the point $r$
$V$	$V = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2D}} R_0$
$\frac{d}{dt} W$	The rate at which work is done upon the water

$\beta$	$\beta = (m/2kT)^{1/2}$
$\beta_0$	The value of $\beta$ at $T = T_0$
$\gamma$	The ratio of specific heats $\frac{c_p}{c_v}$ ; approximately 4/3 for air at standard conditions
$\delta$	Damping constant
$\kappa$	A constant of integration
$\lambda$	$\lambda = \left(\frac{P_0}{2\pi\rho_a}\right)^{1/2} \frac{n_0}{c_\infty} \frac{R_0}{D}$
$\rho_w$	The density of the water
$\rho_a$	The density of air at pressure $P_0$ and temperature $T_0$
$\tau$	The period of the sound beam
$\nu$	Frequency
$\nu_0$	Natural frequency
$\omega$	Angular frequency
$\omega_0$	The angular frequency corresponding to natural frequency

## THE DEAERATION OF WATER BY A SOUND BEAM\*

by

Leonard Pode, M.S.

## ABSTRACT

A calculation is made to determine what part might be played by the diffusion of air into existing bubbles as a consequence of the mechanical motions induced by a sound beam. The case of an isolated bubble in a weak sound beam of wave-length considerably greater than the bubble radius is considered. The effects of surface tension, viscosity and energy dissipation are neglected. The diffusion problem is treated by means of a perturbation technique. The growth of the bubble is found to be of second order in the sound pressure, i.e., proportional to the sound intensity. Numerical results presented show that the effect is sufficient to account for significant bubble growth especially in the case of very small bubbles.

## INTRODUCTION

The deaeration of water by an ultrasonic beam is a phenomenon which has become increasingly familiar to experimenters in ultrasonics. However, there is as yet no satisfactory account of the mechanism responsible for this effect.\*\* The calculation that is made here lends support to the view that the deaeration results from the growth of small air bubbles which is caused by the mechanical motion that is forced by the sound beam. The motion of a bubble responding to a sound beam is unsymmetrical in such a manner that the average radius becomes slightly greater than the equilibrium radius. Moreover, because the diffusion of air into the bubble varies with the area of the boundary, the periods when the bubble is expanded have greater effect upon the diffusion of air into the bubble than the periods when the bubble is contracted. Hence it is to be expected that the mechanical motions of an

---

\*A dissertation submitted to the faculty of the Graduate School of Arts and Sciences of the Catholic University of America in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

\*\*After most of the work presented here had been accomplished it was discovered that a similar calculation had been made by F.G. Blake, Jr. See Reference 2 on page 20 of this report. A comparison of the two calculations is presented in Appendix I of this paper.

air bubble will result in the growth of the bubble. This mechanism for the growth of an air bubble in a sound field is very closely related to the mechanism for growth of an air bubble in a cavitating flow. The latter case has been treated in an earlier paper.<sup>1</sup> The main difference between these two phenomena are the much smaller scale and greater symmetry of the motion of the sound field. On the other hand a bubble can readily be exposed to a sound field for a relatively long time whereas the time spent in a cavitating flow is usually brief. The calculation that is presented here follows along the lines of the earlier calculation. However, because of the small scale of the motion it is now possible to treat the diffusion of air through the water in more detail.

A single small bubble is considered to be immersed in an infinite body of water through which a sound beam is passing. The radius of the bubble is assumed to be so small in comparison to the wave length of the sound beam that the beam can be represented by a periodic variation in the hydrostatic pressure at infinity. Consequently spherical symmetry is preserved in the motion. Only the case of a weak sound beam is considered so that the variation of the pressure at infinity may be considered small compared to the average hydrostatic pressure. Surface tension is neglected and it is assumed that all conditions are such that in the absence of the sound beam equilibrium would obtain. The conduction of heat is also neglected so that the temperature in the water remains constant and uniform and the compressions and expansions of the air in the bubble are adiabatic. In addition the presence of water vapor in the bubble is ignored.

The object of this calculation is to determine the average rate of growth of the bubble when conditions have become steady. It will be assumed that the change of the amount of air in the bubble during the time required to attain steady conditions and during a period of the sound field are both insignificant in comparison to the amount of air initially in the bubble. Therefore, the effect of the change of air content during one period on the rate of growth will be ignored. Thus the pressure of the air in the bubble will be assumed to be directly given by the adiabatic relationship without correction for the change in the air content in the bubble. Similarly the density of air in the bubble will be taken as inversely proportional to the volume.

The calculation is divided into three parts covering (1) the equation of motion of the boundary of the bubble, (2) the boundary conditions for the diffusion equation, (3) the solution of the diffusion equation.

---

<sup>1</sup>References are listed on page 20.

THE EQUATION OF MOTION OF THE BOUNDARY OF THE BUBBLE

The equation of motion of an air bubble in water under the conditons specified above has been treated by many writers. The derivation is repeated here in order to obtain the equation in a form suitable for present purposes.

Let the origin of coordinates be the center of the bubble. Let  $r$  be the distance from the origin to a point in the water and let  $v$  be the radial velocity of the fluid at this point. Let  $R$  be the radius of the bubble and  $\dot{R}$  be the time rate of change of  $R$ . Then the incompressibility of the water requires

$$v = \frac{R^2}{r^2} \dot{R} \quad [1]$$

and the rate of change of kinetic energy is

$$\frac{d}{dt} KE = \frac{d}{dt} \int_R^\infty \rho_w \frac{v^2}{2} 4\pi r^2 dr = 4\pi \rho_w \left[ \dot{R} \ddot{R} R^3 + \frac{3}{2} R^2 \dot{R}^3 \right] \quad [2]$$

where  $\rho_w$  is the density of the water. If  $P_g$  is the pressure in the bubble and  $P_\infty$  is the pressure in the water at infinity, the rate at which work is done upon the water is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} W = (P_g - P_\infty) \frac{d}{dt} \frac{4\pi R^3}{3} \quad [3]$$

Writing  $P_\infty = P_0 + P_s$ , where  $P_0$  is the undisturbed hydrostatic pressure and  $P_s$  is the sound pressure, and, since the motion is assumed to be adiabatic,  $P_g = P_0 (R_0/R)^{3\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma$  being the ratio of specific heats,  $c_p/c_v$ ; for the air in the bubble, this equation becomes:

$$\frac{d}{dt} W = \left[ P_0 \left( \frac{R_0}{R} \right)^{3\gamma} - P_0 - P_s \right] 4\pi R^2 \dot{R} \quad [4]$$

Since no damping effect is included  $dKE/dt = dW/dt$  and the general equation of motion may be written

$$\rho_w R \ddot{R} + \frac{3}{2} \rho_w \dot{R}^2 + P_0 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{R_0}{R} \right)^{3\gamma} \right] + P_s = 0 \quad [5]$$

For sonic motions we may write  $R = R_0 + \Delta R$ , where  $R_0$  is the equilibrium radius of the bubble and  $\Delta R \ll R_0$  whence to second order in  $\Delta R$  the equation of motion [5] becomes:

$$\rho_w R_0 \Delta \ddot{R} + 3\gamma P_0 \frac{\Delta R}{R_0} + \rho_w \Delta R \dot{\Delta R} + \frac{3}{2} \rho_w (\Delta \dot{R})^2 - \frac{3}{2} \gamma (3\gamma + 1) P_0 \left( \frac{\Delta R}{R_0} \right)^2 + P_s = 0 \quad [6]$$

To first order for free sonic oscillation, i.e.  $P_s \equiv 0$  the familiar result is obtained

$$\omega_0^2 = 4\pi^2 \nu_0^2 = \frac{3\gamma P_0}{\rho_w R_0^2} \quad [7]$$

where  $\omega_0$  is the natural frequency and  $\omega$  the corresponding angular frequency.

Writing  $P_s = p P_0 \sin \omega t$ , where  $p \ll 1$  the following solution, to the second order in  $p$ , is obtained by the standard perturbation technique. This solution can be directly verified by substitution in Equation (6)

$$\Delta R = R - R_0 = R_0 [a_0 (\sin \omega t) p + (a_1 + a_2 \cos 2 \omega t) p^2] \quad [8]$$

where

$$a_0 = \frac{-1}{3\gamma \left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right)} \approx -\frac{1}{4} \quad [9]$$

$$a_1 = \frac{3\gamma + 1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}}{4(3\gamma)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right)^2} = a_0^2 \left( \frac{3\gamma + 1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}}{4} \right) \approx \frac{5}{64} \quad [10]$$

$$a_2 = -\frac{3\gamma + 1 + 5 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}}{4(3\gamma)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right)^2 \left(1 - 4 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right)} = -\frac{a_0^2 \left(3\gamma + 1 + 5 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right)}{4 \left(1 - 4 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right)} \approx -\frac{5}{64} \quad [11]$$

The numerical approximations are obtained by using  $\gamma = 4/3$  for air and ignoring  $\omega/\omega_0$  when compared to unity in accordance with the hypothesis that we are dealing with a bubble whose radius is small compared to the radius for resonance.

Differentiation of Equation [8] gives

$$\dot{R} = R_0 \left( a_0 \omega p \cos \omega t - 2 a_2 \omega p^2 \sin 2 \omega t \right) \quad [12]$$

#### THE BOUNDARY CONDITION FOR THE DIFFUSION EQUATION

The traffic of air molecules at the boundary of the bubble is studied by considering the rate  $dN_L/dt$  at which molecules leave the bubble and enter the water and then the rate  $dN_G/dt$  at which molecules stream away from the water surface and enter the bubble thus obtaining the net rate of transfer of molecules  $dN/dt = dN_G/dt - dN_L/dt$  which is the rate of growth of the bubble. Because of the spherical symmetry it is only necessary to find the rate of transfer of molecules per unit area of the boundary  $(dN/dt) \div 4\pi R^2$ . This rate may be determined by considering just a small section of the boundary.

It is assumed that the mean free path of the molecules in the bubble is sufficiently small compared to the radius of the bubble so that the section of the spherical surface may be taken small enough to be virtually a plane. From kinetic theory the rate of flow of molecules per unit area through a plane surface element in the bubble is given by  $n/2\sqrt{\pi}\beta$  where  $n$  is the molecular density and  $\beta = \sqrt{m/2kT}$ ,  $m$  being the molecular mass,  $T$  the temperature (Kelvin) of the air in the bubble and  $k$  the Boltzmann constant.<sup>3</sup> Since the motion is assumed to be adiabatic  $\beta = \beta_0(R/R_0)^{3/2(\gamma-1)}$  where  $\beta_0$  is the value of  $\beta$  at equilibrium i.e.  $\beta_0 = (m/2kT_0)^{1/2} = (\rho_0/2P_0)^{1/2}$  and  $\rho_0$  is the density of the air equilibrium. Also  $n = n_0(R_0/R)^3$  where  $n_0$  is the molecular density at equilibrium. Although some of the molecules that strike the surface of the water rebound into the gas, almost all remain in the water and it is sufficiently accurate for our purpose to assume that all the molecules that strike the boundary remain in the water.\* Thus the specific rate of loss is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{N_L}{4\pi R^2} = n_0 \left( \frac{P_0}{2\pi\rho_0} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{R_0}{R} \right)^{3/2(\gamma+1)} \quad [13]$$

The rate at which molecules stream into the bubble from the water surface depends upon the concentration of the dissolved air and the temperature of the water. The density of air in equilibrium with water when both are at the same fixed temperature is proportional to the concentration of dissolved gas in accordance with Henry's Law. The effect of temperature is to vary the constant of proportionality. Let  $c(r, t)$  be the molecular concentration of air in water. Then  $c_\infty = c(\infty, t)$  is the molecular concentration of air in water when the water is in equilibrium with air at pressure  $P_0$  and temperature  $T_0$ . Since the temperature of the water is everywhere fixed at  $T_0$ , when the concentration of air in the water at the boundary of the bubble is  $c_\infty$  the rate at which molecules leave a unit area of the water surface is  $n_0(R_0/2\pi\rho_0)^{1/2}$ . When the concentration of air in the water at the boundary is  $c(R, t)$  the water would be in equilibrium with air at temperature  $T_0$  and molecular density  $(c(R, t)/c_\infty)n_0$  so that the specific rate at which molecules stream away from a

---

\*This may not be the case when impurities have collected at the boundary of the bubble. It has been observed for example that the deaeration of tap water that has been quiescent for a long period of time will not occur until the sonic pressure is increased beyond a minimum threshold level. The suggestion has been made by F. E. Fox and K. F. Herzfeld that the accumulation of an organic "skin" at the boundary of the bubble may be responsible for this phenomena. Such a skin would stifle the diffusion process. The calculation made here presupposes a fresh surface at bubble or water free of impurities with the result that no threshold pressure is obtained.

unit area of the water surface is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{N_G}{4 \pi R^2} = n_0 \left( \frac{P_0}{2 \pi \rho_a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{c(R,t)}{c_\infty} \quad [14]$$

The net rate of transfer is thus given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} N = 4 \pi R^2 \left( \frac{P_0}{2 \pi \rho_a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} n_0 \left[ \frac{c(R,t)}{c_\infty} - \left( \frac{R_0}{R} \right)^{\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}} \right] \quad [15]$$

The net rate of transfer of molecules through the boundary is also given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} N = 4 \pi R^2 D \left( \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} \right)_{r=R} \quad [16]$$

where  $D$  is the diffusion constant for air in water and  $c$  is given in terms of molecular concentration. Hence the boundary condition at  $r = R$  can be written

$$\frac{R_0}{c_\infty} \left( \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} \right)_{r=R} = \lambda \left[ \frac{c(R,t)}{c_\infty} - \left( \frac{R_0}{R} \right)^{\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}} \right] \quad [17]$$

where

$$\lambda = \left( \frac{P_0}{2 \pi \rho_a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{n_0}{c_\infty} \right) \left( \frac{R_0}{D} \right)$$

Under normal conditions  $\lambda$  is exceedingly large. For standard conditions of temperature and pressure the following values may be used:

$$P_0 = 1.01 \times 10^6 \text{ dyne cm}^{-2}; \quad D = 1.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}; \quad c_\infty = 5.02 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}; \\ n_0 = 2.50 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}; \quad \rho_a = 1.20 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gm cm}^{-3}.$$

Then for a bubble whose equilibrium radius is  $10^{-3} \text{ cm}$ ,  $\lambda \approx 3 \times 10^6$ .

For all cases of present interest the value of the left hand side of Equation [17] is always small relative to  $\lambda$ . Hence little error is made if the equation is divided by  $\lambda$  and set equal to zero so that the boundary condition becomes

$$c(R,t) = c_\infty \left( \frac{R_0}{R} \right)^{\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}} \quad [18]$$

This condition is simply the statement that the concentration of dissolved air in the water at the boundary of the bubble instantaneously assumes the value required for equilibrium with the air in the bubble. However, if the boundary condition is used in the form of Equation [18] it is essential that the rate of growth of the bubble be computed with the formula given by Equation [16] and not with that of Equation [15] since the latter would involve the product of a very large number and a very small number which has been set equal to

zero in Equation [18].

### THE SOLUTION OF THE DIFFUSION EQUATION

The diffusion of the dissolved air through the water is described by the partial differential equation:

$$(D\nabla^2 - \vec{v}\cdot\nabla)c = \frac{D}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{R^2}{r^2} \dot{R} \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} \quad [19]$$

where  $R$  and  $\dot{R}$  are given as functions of time by Equations [8] and [12] respectively. The boundary conditions are imposed by the fixed concentration at infinity, i.e.  $c(\infty, t) \equiv c_\infty$  and either Equation [17] or Equation [18]. Although there is no inherent difficulty in employing the more precise Equation [17], the labor involved in using Equation [18] is considerably less and in view of the approximate nature of this calculation in other respects, little is lost by the use of the Equation [18]. In either form the boundary condition at  $r = R$  is in general awkward to handle because the condition is imposed at a moving boundary.\* However, this difficulty can be avoided in the present case because the motion of the boundary is small so that equivalent conditions at a fixed boundary i.e.  $r = R_0$  can be extrapolated from the condition at the moving boundary.

A solution is sought which is a perturbation from the undisturbed state. Thus  $c(r, t)$  is expanded in powers of  $p$ :

$$c(r, t) = c_\infty + c_1(r, t)p + c_2(r, t)p^2 + \dots \quad [20]$$

and at  $r = R$  expansions of the following type are employed: (see Appendix II).

$$c(R, t) = c(R_0, t) + (R - R_0) \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} (R_0, t) + \frac{1}{2} (R - R_0)^2 \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial r^2} (R_0, t) + \dots \quad [21]$$

---

\*In connection with a similar heat diffusion problem, M. S. Plesset and S. A. Zwick<sup>7</sup>, have employed a technique for treating the condition at the moving boundary that could be adapted to the present problem. This technique is based upon the fact that the diffusion takes place mainly in the water immediately surrounding the bubble. Successive approximations can be made each effectively extending the diffusion to a larger volume of the fluid. However in the present case the general evaluation of the explicit solutions which are obtained for these approximations would have to be predicated upon the smallness of the motion. The method employed in this paper uses the fact that only small motions are to be considered to avoid any approximation in regard to the region wherein the diffusion takes place.

$$\frac{\partial c(R, t)}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial c(R_0, t)}{\partial r} + (R - R_0) \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial r^2}(R_0, t) + \frac{1}{2} (R - R_0)^2 \frac{\partial^3 c}{\partial r^3}(R_0, t) + \dots \quad [22]$$

The assumption that equilibrium exists in the absence of the sound beam requires that the first term in the expansion of  $c$  in Equation [20] be identically  $c_\infty$  as written and it is easily verified that  $c(r, t) \equiv c_\infty$  satisfies the differential equation and the boundary conditions with the exclusion of terms of and beyond first order in  $p$ . Using Equations [8] and [20] in Equations [21] and [22] one obtains to second order in  $p$

$$c(R, t) = c_\infty + c_1(R, t)p + \left[ R_0 a_0 (\sin \omega t) \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial r}(R_0, t) + c_2(R_0, t) \right] p^2 \quad [23]$$

$$\frac{\partial c(R, t)}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial c_1(R_0, t)}{\partial r} p + \left[ R_0 a_0 (\sin \omega t) \frac{\partial^2 c_1}{\partial r^2}(R_0, t) + \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial r}(R_0, t) \right] p^2 \quad [24]$$

Substitution from Equations [8] and [24] into Equation [16] and the taking of time averages results in the following expression for the average rate of growth of the bubble to second order in  $p$

$$\frac{\overline{dN}}{dt} = 4\pi R_0^2 D \left\{ \overline{\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial r}} p + \left[ 2a_0 (\sin \omega t) \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial r} + R_0 a_0 (\sin \omega t) \frac{\partial^2 c_1}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial r} \right] p^2 \right\} \quad [25]$$

where all derivatives with respect to  $r$  are to be evaluated at  $r = R_0$ . It is apparent from Equation [25] that although the time dependence of  $c_1$  is required for the determination of the average rate of growth to second order in  $p$  only the time average of  $c_2$  is needed.

The differential equation for  $c_1$  is found by applying Equations [8], [12] and [20] to Equation [19], expanding in powers of  $p$  and equating the coefficients of the first power of  $p$ . Thus

$$\frac{D}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial r} \right) = \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} \quad [26]$$

or the equivalent

$$D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (r c_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (r c_1) \quad [27]$$

The boundary condition at  $r = R_0$  is likewise obtained using Equations [8] and

[23] in [18]. Thus

$$\frac{c_1(R_0, t)}{c_\infty} = -\frac{3\gamma+3}{2} a_0 \sin \omega t \quad [28]$$

and the boundary condition at infinity is simply  $c_1(\infty, t) \equiv 0$ . Hence the determination of  $c_1$  is mathematically identical to the one-dimensional problem of heat conduction in a semi-infinite medium with prescribed time-dependence of the temperature at the boundary. The solution of this heat conduction problem is well-known.<sup>4</sup> Application of this known solution gives

$$\frac{c_1(r, t)}{c_\infty} = -\left(\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}\right) a_0 [F \sin \omega t - G \cos \omega t] \quad [29]$$

where

$$F = \frac{R_0}{r} e^{-V\left(\frac{r}{R_0}-1\right)} \cos V\left(\frac{r}{R_0}-1\right)$$

$$G = \frac{R_0}{r} e^{-V\left(\frac{r}{R_0}-1\right)} \sin V\left(\frac{r}{R_0}-1\right)$$

and

$$V = \left(\frac{\omega}{2D} R_0^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

This solution can also be readily obtained by expansion of  $c_1(r, t)$  in a Fourier-series in  $t$ .

The following evaluations can now be made:

$$\overline{\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial r}} = 0; \quad \overline{\sin \omega t \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial r}} = \frac{3\gamma+3}{4} a_0 c_\infty \frac{(1+V)}{R_0}; \quad \overline{\sin \omega t \frac{\partial^2 c_1}{\partial r^2}} = 0$$

The differential equation for  $\overline{c_2}$  is obtained by applying Equations [8], [12] and [20] to Equation [19], expanding in powers of  $p$ , equating the coefficients of the second power of  $p$ , substituting the result just obtained for  $c_1$  and taking time averages. Thus one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left( r^2 \frac{d\overline{c_2}}{dr} \right) = c_\infty a_0^2 \left( \frac{3\gamma+3}{2} \right) V^2 R_0 \frac{dG}{dr} \quad [30]$$

The boundary conditions at  $r = R_0$  is likewise obtained using Equations [8] and [23] in [18].

<sup>4</sup>According to the interpretation of diffusion as a consequence of the random wandering of molecules as presented by Einstein, we may write  $D = \overline{x^2}/2\tau$  where  $\overline{x^2}$  is the average square of the displacement of an air molecule in water after the time  $\tau$  which may be taken as the period of the sound beam. Then, since  $\omega = 2\pi/\tau$ ,  $V = \omega^{1/2} R_0 / (2D)^{1/2} = (2\pi)^{1/2} R_0 / (\overline{x^2})^{1/2}$  so that  $V$  may be interpreted as a multiple of the ratio of  $R_0$  to the root-mean-square displacement in a period of the sound beam.

Thus

$$\frac{\bar{c}_2(R_0)}{c_\infty} = -\left(\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}\right)\left[\left(\frac{V+1}{2} - \frac{3\gamma+5}{8}\right)a_0^2 + a_1\right] \quad [31]$$

Equation [30] is easily integrated to obtain

$$\frac{\bar{c}_2}{c_\infty} = -a_0^2\left(\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}\right)V^2\int_r^\infty\frac{R_0^2G}{r^2}\frac{dr}{R_0} + \frac{\kappa R_0}{r} \quad [32]$$

where  $\kappa$  is a constant of integration; a second constant of integration vanishes because of the boundary condition at infinity,  $\bar{c}_2(\infty) \equiv 0$ . Substitution from Equation [32] into Equation [31] permits the evaluation of  $\kappa$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= -\left(\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}\right)\left[\left(\frac{V+1}{2} - \frac{3\gamma+5}{8} - V^2I_0\right)a_0^2 + a_1\right] \\ &= -\left(\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}\right)a_0^2\left[\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3\gamma}{8} + \frac{V}{2} - \frac{\omega^2}{4\omega_0^2} - V^2I_0\right] \end{aligned} \quad [33]$$

where

$$I_0 = \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-V(x-1)} \sin V(x-1)}{x^3} dx$$

Substitution of the results obtained for  $c_1$  and  $\bar{c}_2$  in Equation [25] gives the following expressions for  $\bar{dN}/dt$ :

$$\frac{\bar{dN}}{dt} = 4\pi R_0 D \left(\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}\right) c_\infty \left[\left(\frac{3}{2}V + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3\gamma+5}{8} - V^2I_0\right)a_0^2 + a_1\right] p^2 \quad [34a]$$

$$= 4\pi R_0 D \left(\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}\right) c_\infty \left[\frac{9}{8} + \frac{3\gamma}{8} + \frac{3V}{2} - V^2I_0 - \frac{\omega^2}{4\omega_0^2}\right] a_0^2 p^2 \quad [34b]$$

or

$$\frac{1}{N_0} \frac{\bar{dN}}{dt} = 3D \frac{c_\infty}{n_0} \left(\frac{3\gamma+3}{2}\right) \left[\frac{9}{8} + \frac{3\gamma}{8} + \frac{3V}{2} - V^2I_0 - \frac{\omega^2}{4\omega_0^2}\right] \frac{a_0^2 p^2}{R_0^2} \quad [34c]$$

The integral  $I_0$  is always less than  $V^{-1}$  since this latter value is obtained upon elimination of the  $x^{-3} \sin V(x-1)$  factor of the integrand, this factor being less than unity while the remaining factor is always positive throughout the range of integration. Moreover as seen in Figure 4,  $I_0$ , the evaluation of which is discussed in Appendix III, never exceeds 0.1 and is  $(2V)^{-1}$  for large  $V$ . Hence it is apparent that  $\bar{dN}/dt$  is always positive.

## EVALUATION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE SOLUTION

The result for  $\overline{dN}/dt$  is illustrated by the curves shown in Figure 1. Values of  $1/N_0 \overline{dN}/dt$  are shown as a function of  $R_0$  for various frequencies. For all of these curves the values previously given for  $P_0, D, c_\infty, n_0$  and  $\rho_a$  were used. Also used were  $\gamma = 4/3; \rho_w = 1$  and  $p$  was taken as  $1/3$ . When  $R_0$  and  $\omega/\omega_0$  are both extremely small then

$$V = \left(\frac{\omega}{2D} R_0^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{3\gamma P_0}{4\rho_w D^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0} R_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 7260 \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0} R_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ cm}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

is also small and approximately

$$\frac{1}{N_0} \frac{dN}{dt} = 3D \frac{c_\infty}{n_0} \left(\frac{3\gamma + 3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3\gamma + 9}{8}\right) \left(\frac{1}{9\gamma^2}\right) \frac{p^2}{R_0^2} = \frac{4.52 \times 10^{-8}}{R_0^2} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad [35]$$

Since for any fixed frequency  $\omega/\omega_0$  becomes small when  $R_0$  is sufficiently small all of the curves are asymptotic to this expression when going towards small  $R_0$ . However it should be noted that when the radius of the bubble is much smaller than  $10^{-3} \text{ cm}$ , the accuracy of the calculation suffers from the neglect of the surface tension and for low frequencies from the use of the adiabatic rather than the isothermal assumption. Nevertheless the results clearly show that extremely small bubbles grow rapidly by diffusion. In fact the estimated rate of growth of bubbles of small size is so great that for low frequencies the growth per cycle is no longer a negligible fraction of the initial bubble size.\*

\*It is clear that the assumption that the growth of the bubble during a period of the sound beam is negligible will impose a lower limit upon the frequencies for which the calculation is accurate. The assumption as it has been applied refers not only to the change in the air content due to the average rate of growth, but also and more restricting, to the amplitude of the alternating component of the air content. In particular it has been assumed that this amplitude is much smaller than the amplitude of the volume variation i.e. that in amplitude  $(N - N_0)/N_0 \ll [3(R - R_0)]/R_0$ . An estimate of a bound for the amplitude of  $(N - N_0)/N_0$  can be obtained by considering the growth that would occur in a half period if the conditions most favorable to growth i.e. maximum expansion were constantly maintained. Then at the boundary, from Equation (18), taking  $\gamma = 4/3; c(R_{max}) = c_\infty (R_{max}/R_0)^{-\gamma/2}$  where  $R_{max}$  is the maximum radius. Since steady conditions are assumed  $c(r) = c_\infty [(R_{max}/R_0)^{-\gamma/2} - 1] (R_{max}/r) + c_{oi}$ . Hence from Equation (16),  $dN/dt = 4\pi R_{max} D [1 - (R_{max}/R_0)^{-\gamma/2}] c_\infty$  and the relative growth in a half-period, which is taken as an estimate of the amplitude of the alternating component of the air content is:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N_0} \frac{dN}{dt} \frac{1}{\nu} = \frac{2\pi R_{max}}{N_0} D \left[1 - \left(\frac{R_{max}}{R_0}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}\right] \frac{c_\infty}{\nu} \approx \frac{21}{4\nu} \frac{c_\infty}{n_0} \frac{D}{R_0^2} \frac{\Delta R_{max}}{R_0}$$

where  $\Delta R_{max} = R_{max} - R_0$  and is assumed to be small. Hence using the values quoted in the text:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N_0} \frac{dN}{dt} \frac{1}{\nu} \approx \frac{3 \Delta R_{max}}{R_0} \approx \frac{7}{4} \frac{c_\infty}{n_0} \frac{D}{R_0^2} \frac{1}{\nu} \approx \frac{6.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}}{R_0^2 \nu}$$

If a ratio of 1/100 is considered acceptable, then the assumption is satisfied for frequencies such that  $\nu > 6.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1} / R_0^2$ . This is a rather conservative estimate. It is probable that the assumption applies quite well to somewhat lower frequencies. Also it should be noted that the failure of the assumption for low frequencies does not imply that the growth by diffusion would be less than that calculated; the reverse may well be the case.

As  $R_0$  increases towards resonant size the terms in  $V$  enter. Also  $a_0^2$  increases. The curves then depart from the asymptote on the positive side. Naturally this occurs earlier for the higher frequencies. As  $R_0$  attains resonant size  $\overline{dN}/dt$  diverges to  $+\infty$  because no damping effect has been included in the treatment of bubble motion so that  $a_0$  becomes infinite. For bubbles of near-resonant size  $V$  is large, especially in the case of the lower frequencies. Then terms in  $V$  in the bracket on the left-hand-side of Equation [34] dominate. Since for large  $V$  as shown in Appendix II  $I_0 \approx (2V)^{-1}$ , an approximation for  $1/N_0 \overline{dN}/dt$  for the near-resonant condition is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N_0} \frac{\overline{dN}}{dt} &= 3D \left( \frac{c_\infty}{n_0} \right) \left( \frac{3\gamma + 3}{2} \right) \left( \frac{3\gamma P_0}{4\rho_\omega D^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{R_0}{R_\omega} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{9\gamma^2} \right) \frac{p^2}{\left(1 - \frac{R_0^2}{R_\omega^2}\right)^2} \frac{1}{R_0^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &= 0.001815 \left( \frac{R_0}{R_\omega} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{p^2}{\left(1 - \frac{R_0^2}{R_\omega^2}\right)^2} \frac{cm^{\frac{3}{2}}}{R_0^{\frac{3}{2}}} \end{aligned} \quad [36]$$

where  $R_\omega$  is the radius at resonance.

For the lower frequencies the damping is very slight and it is to be expected that the curves will in fact rise very sharply as resonance is approached. However it is difficult to estimate the height of the peaks that will be reached at resonance. According to elementary treatment of the effect of a parallel sound beam<sup>5</sup> on an air bubble, the resonant motion of the bubble is a sinusoidal motion of amplitude  $pR_0/3\gamma\delta$ , where  $\delta$  is the so-called damping constant and is a function of frequency. In the following table the values of  $\delta$  are approximate empirical values read from Figure 2, page 446 of Reference 5.

Frequency, cycles per sec	1,000	10,000	40,000
Damping constant, $\delta$	0.025	0.11	0.28
Nominal ratio of the amplitude of the first harmonic to the equilibrium radius; $p/3\gamma\delta$ for $p = 1/3$	3.3	0.7	0.3

The relative amplitudes show that the elementary theory fails at low frequencies even for relatively small sound pressures, and the motion of the bubble is then not sinusoidal but very asymmetrical.\* The curves shown in Figure 1 have been extended only to the bubble radii at which  $\omega/\omega_0 = 1/2$ . In this case  $a_0 = -1/3$  and for  $p = 1/3$  the relative amplitude of the first harmonic of the bubble motion is  $1/9$  so that at this point the approximations made in the calculation should still be reasonable.\*\* The greater damping that is

\*Under such conditions one would expect to find a nonlinear amplitude effect upon the damping.

\*\*When  $\omega/\omega_0 = 1/2$  the second harmonic term diverges, i.e.,  $a_2$  becomes infinite. However, to second order in  $p$ ,  $a_2$  does not affect the diffusion and the inclusion of damping effects would probably limit  $a_2$  to reasonably small values.




Figure 1 - Relative Rate of Growth as a Function of Frequency and Bubble Radius

observed for the higher frequencies would indicate that the curves for frequencies greater than  $10^5$  will not exhibit much of a peak at resonance. In fact because of the neglect of the damping, the rate of growth predicted for the higher frequencies even in the off-resonant condition may be somewhat over-estimated. It should be noted that the increasing asymmetry of the motion, whether produced by resonant conditions or by the application of sound beams of greater intensity, will contribute further to the growth of the bubble by diffusion.

It is hard to predict what happens when the radius of the bubble grows beyond resonant size. If the bubble becomes substantially greater than resonant size the distortion produced by the motion may cause the bubble to split and thereafter the pattern of growth for small bubbles would again apply. For very high frequencies the resonant bubble size is so small that if the bubbles do not grow much beyond resonant size they would not be evident on visual inspection. Also very small bubbles may not have time to rise out of the water so that the water would not be deaerated.

The time required for a bubble to grow from one radius to another can be determined by integration of the values of  $1/N_0 \frac{dN}{dt}$  using the relationship  $dR_0/dt = R_0/3(1/N_0 \frac{dN}{dt})$ . Figure 2 shows the result of numerical integration applied to the values for  $\nu = 10^4$  cycles per second. Because the growing bubble spends only a very small part of its life at small radii it would be very difficult to determine the original radius of the bubble from data on the time after application of a sound beam that is required for a bubble to be seen and the size of the bubble when it becomes visible.




Figure 2 - Time History of Bubble Radius

This figure shows the variation of the radius of a bubble in a sound beam of  $10^4$  cycles per second. The initial radius was taken as  $10^{-7}$  centimeters.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Professor K. F. Herzfeld of the Catholic University of America for the invaluable guidance and encouragement which he gave to this work.

## APPENDIX I

## COMPARISON WITH BLAKE'S CALCULATION

Since Blake<sup>2</sup> includes the effect of surface tension and uses an isothermal rather than an adiabatic relationship between  $P_0$  and  $R$  his basic assumptions are more appropriate for very small bubbles than the assumptions used for the present calculation, the main interest of which is centered on bubbles of the order of  $10^{-3}cm$  and greater. A consequence of the inclusion of surface tension is that equilibrium with respect to diffusion is not obtained in the absence of the sound beam. Therefore Blake obtains, as would be expected, a threshold sound pressure above which the bubble grows but below which the bubble dissolves. However if one is mainly concerned in obtaining a realistic value for the threshold sound pressure required for the growth of nuclei, it would seem necessary to include in the treatment of the problem, the influence of whatever factors are responsible for the stability of the nuclei with respect to diffusion. Unfortunately definite information as to the nature of such factors is not available.

It is believed that the present calculation is more detailed and rigorous. In the treatment of the diffusion problem Blake neglects the motion of the boundary and also omits the convection term in the differential equation. Apart from the difference in the motion of the bubble due to the use of the isothermal assumption, it appears that Blake treats only the off-resonant case since no frequency effect appears in his equation for the bubble motion. Also Blake uses for the most part only first order effects. However the ultimate result shows that the growth of the bubble is a second order effect in the sound pressure. It is therefore advisable that second order terms be retained throughout.

Whereas Blake reports a slight reduction of the rate of growth of the bubble when terms beyond the first order in bubble motion are included, the results of the present calculation show that such terms, which reflect the asymmetry of the motion, make an important contribution. In the off-resonant case where  $V$  is small, the contribution of the second order term as represented by the presence of  $a_1$  in Equation [34], is 2.5 times as great as the contribution of the first order. Terms of even higher order are undoubtedly required to properly represent the effects of asymmetry when the resonant size is approached.

When the terms that reflect the lack of equilibrium in the absence of the sound beam are dropped, and the surface tension is taken as zero then

Blake's result expressed in the nomenclature of this paper is:

$$\frac{1}{N_0} \frac{d\bar{N}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_\infty}{n_0} D [1 + 2V] \frac{p^2}{R_0^2}$$

For comparison Equation [34] reduces in the off-resonant case to

$$\frac{1}{N_0} \frac{d\bar{N}}{dt} = \frac{21}{32} \frac{c_\infty}{n_0} D \left[ \frac{13}{8} + \frac{3}{2} V \right] \frac{p^2}{R_0^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{c_\infty}{n_0} D [2.13 + 1.97V] \frac{p^2}{R_0^2}$$

where only the first order term in  $V$  is retained.

## APPENDIX II

THE EXTRAPOLATION OF THE BOUNDARY CONDITON TO  $R_0$ 

The meaning of the expansions illustrated by Equations [21] and [22] may require some clarification. Suppose that at time  $t_1$  the radius of the bubble  $R$  is smaller than the equilibrium radius  $R_0$  as shown in Figure 3. Then since the concentration of air molecules in the water, can be assumed to be analytic for  $r > R$  the extrapolation from  $R$  to  $R_0$ , i.e.  $P_1$  to  $P_2$ , is clearly justified. However at some later time  $t_2$  when the radius of the bubble is greater than  $R_0$ , there is no water at  $R_0$  so that physically the function,  $c$  does not exist at this point,  $P_4$ . The final justification for these expansions is simply that by applying them a solution,  $c(r, t)$ , is obtained that is analytic for all values of  $r > 0$ . It is certainly permissible to apply this solution for values of  $r \geq R$  and it is apparent that the setting of the boundary conditions at  $R_0$  in the manner described makes certain that the solution will satisfy the proper boundary conditions at  $R$ .




Figure 3 - The Extrapolation of Boundary Conditions from  $R$  to  $R_0$

## APPENDIX III

EVALUATION OF  $I_0$ 

The integral  $I_0(V) = \int_1^\infty e^{-V(x-1)} \frac{\sin V(x-1)}{x^3} dx$  can be expressed in terms of the generalized exponential integrals. Integrating by parts so as to reduce the exponent of the denominator one obtains:

$$I(V) = \frac{V}{2} - e^V V \left\{ \left[ \frac{\pi}{4} - E_s(0, V) \right] \sin V + \left[ E_c(0, V) - \gamma - \ln V - \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \right] \cos V \right\}$$

where  $\gamma = .5772157 \dots$  is Euler's constant and  $E_s(0, V)$ ,  $E_c(0, V)$  are the generalized exponential integral functions. These integrals have been tabulated. For values of  $V$  smaller than the tabulated range the following series expansions can be applied.

$$E_c(0, V) = V - \frac{V^3}{9} + \frac{V^4}{24} + \dots$$

$$E_s(0, V) = V - \frac{V^2}{2} + \frac{V^3}{9}$$

For values of  $V$  greater than the tabulated range the integral  $I_0$  can be evaluated directly using the expansion

$$I_0(V) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{V} - \frac{3}{V^2} + \frac{6}{V^3} + \dots \right]$$

which can be obtained either by integrating by parts so as to increase the exponent of the denominator of the integrand, or by expanding the denominator  $1/x^3$  in a power series about  $x = 1$ . For values of  $V$  that are integral multiples of  $\pi$ , the integral can be conveniently evaluated by use of the rapidly converging series

$$I_0(m\pi) = V^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K[(n+m)\pi] e^{-n\pi}$$

where values of  $K(y) = \int_0^\pi \frac{e^{-y} \sin x}{(y+x)^3} dx$  are readily obtained by numerical integration. In this manner the following values were obtained.

$V$	$I_0$	$V$	$I_0$
$\pi$	0.07393	$7\pi$	0.01991
$2\pi$	0.05188	$8\pi$	0.01771
$3\pi$	0.03944	$9\pi$	0.01594
$4\pi$	0.03172	$10\pi$	0.01449
$5\pi$	0.02649	$11\pi$	0.01328
$6\pi$	0.02274	$12\pi$	0.01227

A plot of  $I_0(V)$  over a considerable range of the variable is shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4 - Plot of  $I_0(V) = \int_1^{\infty} e^{-V(x-1)} \frac{\sin V(x-1)}{x^3} dx$

## REFERENCES

1. "The Diffusion of Air into a Cavitation Bubble" by Leonard Pöde. Presented as a Master's dissertation at the Catholic University in May 1949; to be published as David Taylor Model Basin Report No. 804.
2. "The Onset of Cavitation in Liquids" by F. G. Blake, Jr. Harvard University Technical Memorandum No. 12, Acoustical Research Laboratory, September 2, 1949, pp. 34-45.
3. "The Kinetic Theory of Gases" by E. H. Kennard. 1st ed., McGraw-Hill, 1938.
4. "Operational Methods in Applied Mathematics" by Carslaw and Jaeger. Oxford University Press, 1941, pp. 110-113.
5. "Acoustic Theory of Bubbles", Chapter 28 of "The Physics of Sound in the Sea" Summary Technical Report, Division 6, NDRC, Vol. 8, OSRD, Washington, D.C., 1946, pp. 460-467.
6. "Tables of Generalized Sine-and-Cosine-Integral Functions: Part I and Part II", The Annals of the Computation Laboratory of Harvard University, Vol XVIII and Vol XIX; Harvard University Press; Cambridge, Mass., 1949.
7. "A Non-Steady Heat Diffusion Problem with Spherical Symmetry" by M. S. Plesset and S. A. Zwick. Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 23, No. 1, January 1952.
8. "Pulsations and Growth of Gas-Filled Bubbles in Sound Fields" by Murray D. Rosenberg. Harvard University Technical Memorandum No. 25, Acoustical Research Laboratory, August 8, 1952.\*

\*The last reference was received just prior to publication of this paper so that no time was available for comparison with this work.

## INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

### Copies

- 19 Chief, Bureau of Ships, Technical Library (Code 327), for distribution to:
- 5 Technical Library
  - 1 Civilian Consultant to Chief (Code 106)
  - 2 Research and Development (Code 300)
  - 1 Applied Science (Code 370)
  - 1 Noise, Shock, and Vibration Branch (Code 371)
  - 1 Ship Design (Code 410)
  - 2 Preliminary Design and Ship Protection (Code 420)
  - 1 Preliminary Design (Code 421)
  - 1 Model Basin Liaison (Code 422)
  - 1 Submarines (Code 515)
  - 1 Minesweeping (Code 520)
  - 1 Torpedo Countermeasures (Code 520I)
  - 1 Propellers and Shafting (Code 554)
- 3 Chief, Bureau of Ordnance, Underwater Ordnance
- 2 Code Re6
  - 1 Code Re3
- 3 Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics, for distribution:
- 2 Aero and Hydrodynamics Branch (DE-3)
  - 1 Applied Mathematics Branch (RS-7)
- 7 Chief of Naval Research, for distribution:
- 3 Fluid Mechanics Branch (Code N426)
  - 1 Mathematics Sciences Division (Code 430)
  - 1 Mathematics Branch (Code 432)
  - 1 Naval Sciences Division (Code 460)
  - 1 Undersea Warfare Division (Code 466)
- 1 Director, Office of Naval Research, Branch Office, 346 Broadway, New York 13, N.Y.
- 1 Officer in Charge, Office of Naval Research, Branch Office, London, England, Navy 100, Fleet Post Office, New York, N.Y.
- 1 Director, Office of Naval Research, Branch Office, 1030 East Green Street, Pasadena 1, Calif.
- 1 Director, Office of Naval Research, Branch Office, 1000 Geary Street, San Francisco 9, Calif.
- 1 Director, Office of Naval Research, The John Crerar Library Building, Tenth Floor, 86 E. Randolph St., Chicago 1, Ill.
- 1 Director, Office of Naval Research, Branch Office, 150 Causeway St., Boston 14, Mass.
- 1 Commander, Operational Development Force, U.S. Atlantic Fleet, U.S. Naval Base, Norfolk 11, Va.
- 1 Commander, Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth, N.H.

## Copies

- 1 Commander, Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, Wash.
- 1 Commanding Officer, Surface Anti-Submarine Development Detachment, U.S. Atlantic Fleet, Key West, Fla.
- 2 Commander, U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Silver Spring 19, Md.
- 1 Director, U.S. Naval Research Laboratory, Anacostia, Washington 25, D.C.
- 2 Commander, U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station, Pasadena Annex, 3202 E. Foothill Blvd., Pasadena, Calif.
- 2 Commander, U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station, China Lake, Inyokern, Calif.
- 1 Commanding Officer and Director, U.S. Navy Electronics Laboratory, San Diego, Calif.
- 1 Commanding Officer and Director, U.S. Navy Underwater Sound Laboratory, Fort Trumbull, New London, Conn.
- 1 Director, U.S. Naval Engineering Experiment Station (Wave Mechanics), Annapolis, Md.
- 1 Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Underwater Ordnance Station, Newport, R.I.
- 1 Chairman, Research and Development Board, Department of Defense Building, Washington 25, D.C.
- 1 The Director, Waterways Experiment Station, Corps of Engineers, U.S. Army, Vicksburg, Miss.
- 1 Director, National Bureau of Standards, Washington 25, D.C.
- 2 Director, Langley Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Air Force Base, Va.
- 1 Director, Aeronautical Research, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, 1724 F Street, N.W., Washington 25, D.C.
- 1 Director, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box P, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
- 1 Director, Applied Physics Division, Sandia Laboratory, Albuquerque, N.M., via Headquarters Field Commander AFSWP.
- 1 Chief, Hydraulic Data Branch, Tennessee Valley Authority, Knoxville, Tenn.
- 1 Head, Technical Reference Section, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colo.
- 1 Editor, Bibliography of Technical Reports, Office of Technical Services, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D.C.
- 1 Editor, Technical Data Digest, Armed Services Technical Information Agency, Document Service Center, U.B. Building, Dayton 2, Ohio
- 1 Editor, Applied Mechanics Reviews, Midwest Research Institute, 4049 Pennsylvania, Kansas City 2, Mo.
- 1 Editor, Aeronautical Engineering Reviews, 2 East 64th St., New York 21, N.Y.

## Copies

- 1 Librarian, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 20 West 39th Street, New York 18, N.Y.
- 1 Librarian, American Society of Civil Engineers, 33 West 39th Street, New York 18, N.Y.
- 1 Librarian, American Chemical Society, 1155 16th Street, N.W., Washington 6, D.C.
- 1 Technical Library, Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corp., San Diego 12, Calif.
- 1 Main Library, Carnegie Institute of Technology, Pittsburgh, Pa.
- 1 Editor, Engineering Index, 29 West 39th St., New York 18, N.Y.
- 1 Librarian, Franklin Institute, Parkway at 20th Street, Philadelphia, Pa.
- 1 Technical Library, Glenn L. Martin Co., Baltimore 3, Md.
- 1 Technical Library, Grumann Aircraft Engineering Corp., Bethpage, Long Island, N.Y.
- 1 Librarian, Institute of the Aeronautical Sciences, 2 East 63rd Street, New York 21, N.Y.
- 1 Director, Armour Research Foundation, 35 West 33rd St., Chicago 16, Ill.
- 1 Director, Alden Hydraulic Laboratory, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester 2, Mass.
- 2 Director, Applied Physics Laboratory, Johns Hopkins University, 8621 Georgia Ave., Silver Spring, Md.
- 1 Supervisor of Shipbuilding, USN, and Naval Inspector of Ordnance, 118 E. Howard Street, Quincy 69, Mass.
- 1 Supervisor of Shipbuilding, USN, and Naval Inspector of Ordnance, Bath Iron Works Corp., Bath, Maine
- 1 Bureau of Aeronautics Representative, Bendix Aviation Corp., Teterboro, N.J.
- 1 Head, Aeronautical Engineering Department, Catholic University, Washington, D.C.
- 1 Director, Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Cornell Research Foundation, Box 235, Buffalo 21, N.Y.
- 1 Director, Daniel Guggenheim Aeronautical Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena 4, Calif.
- 1 Director, Fluid Mechanics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York 27, N.Y.
- 1 Director, Fluid Mechanics Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley 4, Calif.
- 1 Director, Hydraulic Laboratory, Carnegie Institute of Technology, Pittsburgh 13, Pa.
- 1 Director, Hydraulics Laboratory, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colo.
- 1 Director, Hydraulic Research Laboratory, University of Connecticut, Box U-37, Storrs, Conn.

## Copies

- 1 Hydrodynamics Laboratory, Attn: Executive Committee, California Institute of Technology, 1201 E. California Street, Pasadena 4, Calif.
- 1 Director, Scripps Institute of Oceanography, University of California, La Jolla Calif.
- 1 Department of Civil Engineering, Colorado A and M College, Fort Collins, Colo.
- 1 Goodyear Aircraft Corporation, Akron, Ohio
- 1 Inspector of Machinery, USN, General Electric Co., Schenectady 1, N.Y., for General Electric Company
- 1 Director, Acoustics Laboratory, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.
- 1 Harvard University, School of Engineering, Cambridge, Mass.
- 1 Hudson Laboratory, Dobbs Ferry, New York, N.Y.
- 1 Head, Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore 18, Md.
- 1 Director, Fritz Engineering Laboratory, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pa.
- 1 Director, Acoustics Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge 39, Mass.
- 1 Director, Department of Civil Engineering, Missouri School of Mines and Metallurgy, Rolla, Mo.
- 1 Director, Experimental Naval Tank, Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich.
- 1 Director, Institute for Fluid Dynamics and Applied Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
- 1 Director, Midwest Research Institute, 4049 Pennsylvania, Kansas City 2, Mo.
- 1 Head, Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge 39, Mass., via INSMAT, Boston.
- 1 Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Administrative Unit, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge 39, Mass.
- 2 Chairman, Department of Aeronautical Engineering, New York University, New York 53, N.Y., 1 for Consolidated Engineering Wind Tunnel
- 1 Director, Fluid Mechanics Laboratory, New York University, New York 53, N.Y.
- 1 Director, Institute for Mathematics and Mechanics, New York University, 45 Fourth Avenue, New York 53, N.Y.
- 1 Director of Research, The Technological Institute, Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill.
- 2 Newport News Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Co., Newport News, Va., for distribution:
  - 1 Senior Naval Architect
  - 1 Supervisor, Hydraulic Laboratory

## Copies

- 1 Supervisor of Shipbuilding, USN, and Naval Inspector of Ordnance, New York Shipbuilding Corp., Camden, N.J.
- 1 Director, Robinson Hydraulic Laboratory, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio
- 1 Head, Department of Aeronautical Engineering and Applied Mechanics, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, 99 Livingston St., Brooklyn 2, N.Y.
- 1 Director, Hydraulics Laboratory, Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pa.
- 1 Department of Mechanical Engineering, Stanford University, Calif.
- 6 Director, Experimental Towing Tank, Stevens Institute of Technology, 711 Hudson Street, Hoboken, N.J.
- 1 Dean, School of Engineering, University of Texas, Austin, Texas
- 1 Director, Engineering Experiment Station, University of Tennessee, Knoxville 16, Tenn.
- 1 Director, Westinghouse Electric Corp., Research Laboratories, E. Pittsburgh, Pa.
- 1 Director, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Mass., Attn: Mr. Allyn C. Vine, Mr. R.L. Rather, Mr. Von Arx
- 1 Administrator, Webb Institute of Naval Architecture, Crescent Beach Road, Glen Cove, Long Island, N.Y.
- 1 Director, Hydraulic Laboratory, University of Wisconsin, Madison 6, Wis.
- 1 Director, Hydraulic Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle 5, Wash.
- 2 Development Contract Administrator, Ordnance Research Laboratory, for Director, Ordnance Research Laboratory, Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pa.
- 2 Prof. M.L. Albertson, Head of Fluid Mechanics Research, Colorado A & M College, Fort Collins, Colo.  
1 Prof. A. Yih
- 1 Prof. M.A. Abkowitz, Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Cambridge 39, Mass.
- 1 Mr. J.P. Breslin, Gibbs and Cox, 21 West St., New York 6, N.Y.
- 1 Prof. G. Birkhoff, Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge 38, Mass.
- 1 Prof. R.C. Binder, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, Lafayette, Ind.
- 1 Prof. N.W. Conner, North Carolina State College, Raleigh, N.C.
- 1 Dr. E.O. Cooper, U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station, 3202 E. Foothill Blvd., Pasadena Annex, Pasadena, Calif.
- 1 Dr. F.H. Clauser, Chairman, Department of Aeronautics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore 18, Md.

## Copies

- 1 VADM E.L. Cochrane, USN (Ret.) Member, Panel on the Hydrodynamics of Submerged Bodies, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass.
- 1 Prof. K.J. DeJuhasz, Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pa.
- 1 Capt. Walter S. Diehl, USN, (Ret), Associate Member, Panel on the Hydrodynamics of Submerged Bodies, 4501 Lowell St., N.W., Washington 25, D.C.
- 1 Mr. Hollinshead de Luce, Chairman, Hydrodynamics Committee, c/o Bethlehem Steel Co., Shipbuilding Division, Quincy 69, Mass.
- 1 Prof. R.A. Dodge, Engineering Mechanics Dept., University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich.
- 1 Dr. D. Gunther, Head, Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y.
- 1 Dr. D. Gilbarg, Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Ind.
- 1 Prof. L.M. Grossman, College of Engineering, University of California, Berkeley 4, Calif.
- 1 Dr. N.J. Hoff, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, Brooklyn 2, N.Y.
- 1 Mr. E.V. Hobbs, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C.
- 1 Prof. W.S. Hamilton, Technical Institute, Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill.
- 1 Prof. A.D. Hay, School of Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J.
- 1 Dr. A.T. Ippen, Director, Hydrodynamics Laboratory, Department of Civil and Sanitary Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge 39, Mass.
- 1 Dr. A. Kantrowitz, Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y.
- 1 Dr. G.H. Keulegan, National Hydraulic Laboratory, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C.
- 1 Prof. B.V. Korvin-Kroukovsky, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, N.J.
- 1 Dr. Th. von Kármán, 1051 South Marengo Street, Pasadena, Calif.
- 1 Inspector of Naval Material, 1206 South Santee St., Los Angeles, Calif., for  
Dr. R.T. Knapp, Hydrodynamics Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena 4, Calif.
- 1 Dr. C. Kaplan, Langley Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Air Force Base, Va.
- 1 Mr. C.A. Lee, Research and Development Laboratories, Kimberly-Clark Corp., Neenah, Wis.
- 1 Dr. C.C. Lin, Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge 39, Mass.
- 1 Dr. L. Lees, Aeronautical Engineering Department, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J.
- 1 RADM P.F. Lee, USN (Ret) Member, Panel on the Hydrodynamics of Submerged Bodies and Vice President, Gibbs and Cox, Inc., New York, N.Y.

## Copies

- 1 Dr. J.H. McMillen, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C.
- 1 Prof. J.W. Miles, University of California, Los Angeles, Calif.
- 1 Dr. A. May, Aerodynamics Division, U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Silver Spring 19, Md.
- 1 Dr. George C. Manning, Prof. Naval Architecture, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge 39, Mass.
- 1 RADM A.I. McKee, USN (Ret) Member, Panel on the Hydrodynamics of Submerged Bodies and Assistant General Manager, Electric Boat Co., Groton, Conn.
- 1 Prof. C.J. Peirce, Headquarters, USAFE, APO 633, c/o Postmaster, New York, N.Y.
- 1 Mr. J.B. Parkinson, Langley Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Air Force Base, Va.
- 1 Dr. M.S. Plesset, Hydrodynamics Laboratories, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena 4, Calif.
- 1 Dr. H. Rouse, Director, Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research, State University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa
- 1 Mr. Vito L. Russo, Chief, Division of Preliminary Design, U.S. Maritime Administration, Department of Commerce, Washington 25, D.C.
- 1 Dr. J.M. Robertson, c/o Ordnance Research Laboratory, Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pa.
- 1 Dr. H.A. Wagner, U.S. Naval Air Missile Center, Ft. Mugu, Port Hueneme, Calif.
- 1 Prof. A. Weinstein, Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.
- 1 Dr. J.V. Wehausen, Executive Editor, Mathematical Reviews, 80 Waterman St., Providence, R.I.
- 1 Inspector of Naval Material, 401 Water St., Baltimore 2, Md., for Dr. G.F. Wislicenus, Mechanical Engineering Department, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore 18, Md.
- 1 Prof. L.I. Schiff, Department of Physics, Stanford University, California
- 1 Dr. L.G. Straub, Director, St. Anthony Falls Hydraulic Laboratory, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis 14, Minn.
- 1 Dr. V.L. Streeter, Director, Fundamental Fluid Research, Illinois Institute of Technology, Technology Center, Chicago 16, Ill.
- 1 Dr. F.B. Seely, Fluid Mechanics and Hydraulics Laboratory, University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill.
- 1 Dr. C.R. Soderberg, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge 39, Mass.

## Copies

- 1 Dr. K.E. Schoenherr, College of Engineering, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Ind.
- 1 Prof. W. Sears, Graduate School of Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y.
- 1 Dr. Stanley Corrsin, Department of Aeronautics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore 18, Md.
- 1 Dr. C.A. Truesdell, Department of Mathematics, University of Indiana, Bloomington, Ind.
- 1 Mr. F.L. Thompson, Member, Panel on the Hydrodynamics of Submerged Bodies and Director of Research, Langley Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Air Force Base, Va.
- 1 Prof. J.K. Vennard, Director, Hydraulic Laboratory, Stanford University, Calif.
- 1 Mr. W. Vermeulen, General Electric Co., Schenectady, N.Y.
- 1 Prof. E.V. Laitone, University of California, Berkeley, Calif.
- 1 Dr. L. Trilling, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge 39, Mass.
- 1 Technical Library, Lockheed Aircraft Corp., 2555 North Hollywood Way, Burbank, Calif.
- 1 Technical Library, McDonnell Aircraft Corp., Box 516, St. Louis 3, Mo.
- 1 Librarian, Mechanics Research Library, Illinois Institute of Technology, Tech. Center, Chicago 16, Ill.
- 1 Technical Library, North American Aviation, Inc., 12241 Lakewood Blvd., Downey, Calif.
- 1 Technical Library, North American Aviation, Inc., El Segundo, Calif.
- 1 Technical Library, Northrop Aircraft Co., 1017 E. Broadway, Hawthorne, Calif.
- 1 Technical Library, Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Division, United Aircraft Corp., East Hartford 8, Conn.
- 1 Librarian, Pacific Aeronautical Library, 7660 Beverly Blvd., Los Angeles 36, Calif.
- 9 British Joint Services Mission (Navy Staff), P.O. Box 165, Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington, D.C.
- 1 Australian Scientific Liaison Office, 1800 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.
- 1 Director, Hydrodynamics Laboratory, National Research Council, Ottawa, Canada
- 1 Directeur, Laboratoire Dauphinois d'Hydraulique des Ateliers Neyrpic, Avenue de Beauvert, Grenoble (Isere), France
- 1 The University of Liverpool, Mathematical Institute, Department of Applied Mathematics, Grace Library, Liverpool, England

## Copies

- 1 Prof. J. Ackeret, Institut fur Aerodynamik der Eidgenossiche, Technischn Hochschule, Zurich, Switzerland
- 1 Prof. J.M. Burgers, Laboratorium Voor, Aero-En Hydrodynamica, Nieuwe Laan 76, Delft, The Netherlands
- 1 Capt. R. Brard, Directeur, Bassin d'Essais des Carènes, 6 Boulevard Victor, Paris XV, France
- 1 Prof. G.K. Batchelor, Trinity College, Cambridge University, Cambridge, England
- 1 Dr. J. Dieudonné, Directeur, Institut de Recherches de la Construction Navale, 1 Boulevard Haussmann, Paris (9e), France
- 1 Dr. S. Goldstein, Haifa Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel
- 1 L. Escande, Ingenieur I.E.T., Professeur a la Faculte Des Sciences, Directeur de l'Ecole Nationale Superieure, D'Electrotechnique et d'Hydraulique, 4 Boulevard Requit, Toulouse, France
- 1 Prof. T.H. Havelock, 8 Westfield Drive, Gosforth, Newcastle-on-Tyne 3, England
- 1 Prof. Harold Jeffreys, St. John's College, Cambridge, England
- 1 Prof. J. Kampe de Fariet, Faculte des Sciences, Universite de Lille, Lille (Nord) France
- 1 Prof. J.K. Lunde, Skipsmodelltanken, Tyholt, Trondheim, Norway
- 1 Dr. L. Malavard, Office National d'Études et de Recherches Aéronautique, 25 Avenue de la Division - LeClerc, Chatillon, Paris, France
- 1 Prof. H. Nordstrom, Director, Statens Skeppsprovninganstalt, Göteborg 24, Sweden
- 1 Gen. Ing. U. Pugliese, Presidente, Istituto Nazionale per Studied Esperienze di Architettura Navale, Via della Vasca Navale 89, Rome, Italy
- 1 Dr. F.C. Roesler, Physikalisches Institut, Tech. Hochschule Graz, Rechbauerstrasse 12, Graz, Austria
- 1 Prof. L. Rosenhead, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, England
- 1 Prof. J.L. Synge, School of Theoretical Physics, National University of Ireland, Dublin, Ireland
- 1 Sir R.V. Southwell, 9 Lathburg Road, Oxford, England
- 1 Superintendent, Nederlandsh Scheepsbouwkundig Proefstation, Haagsteeg 2, Wageningen, The Netherlands
- 1 Prof. Dr. G. Weinblum, Ingenieur School, Berliner Tor 21, Z 260, Hamburg, Germany
- 1 Mr. C. Wigley, 6-9 Charterhouse Square, London EC-1, England

## Copies

- 1 Director, British Shipbuilding Research Association, 5 Chesterfield Gardens,  
Curzon Street, London W. 1, England
- 1 Editor, Bulletin of the British Hydromechanics Research Association, Nettesswell  
Road, Harlow, Essex, England
- 1 Head, Aerodynamics Division, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middle-  
sex, England
- 1 Office of Scientific Attaché of Netherlands Embassy, 1470 Euclid St., N.W.,  
Washington, D.C.
- 1 Canadian National Research Establishment, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada
- 1 Admiralty Research Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England
- 1 Armament Research Establishment, Fort Halstead, Hants, England
- 1 Dr. J.F. Allan, Superintendent, Ship Division, National Physical Laboratory,  
Teddington, Middlesex, England
- 10 Prof. K.F. Herzfeld, Catholic University of America, Washington, D.C.





MIT LIBRARIES DUPL  
3 9080 02754 1595

