

C. L. CORDERMAN

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

UNCLASSIFIED

Memorandum 6L-248

PL-BZ-21

Copy No. 13 of 21

Page 1 of 3

Division 6 - Lincoln Laboratory
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Lexington 73, Massachusetts

CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO:
Auth: DD254
By: R. R. Everett
Date: 3-15-60

Subject: Group Leaders' Meeting - February 27, 1956

To: Group Leaders and Associate Group Leaders

From: C. W. Farr

Date: February 29, 1956

Present: J. W. Forrester, R. R. Everett, J. A. Arnow,
H. D. Benington, D. R. Brown, W. J. Canty,
C. L. Corderman, S. H. Dodd, R. S. Fallows, C. W. Farr,
J. F. Jacobs, B. E. Morriss, Jr., J. A. O'Brien,
W. N. Papian, J. C. Proctor, E. S. Rich, N. H. Taylor,
C. A. Zraket

- Agenda:
1. Report Distribution
 2. A-Memoranda
 3. Group 67 Assembly Checkout Plans and Reorganization
 4. Lincoln-MIT Relationship
 5. Rating Techniques
 6. Group Plans and Schedules
 7. ESS Report
 8. AAOC
 9. Bendix--Air Traffic Control
 10. Everett's Report on Trip

1. Report Distribution

Proctor discussed a procedure for distribution of M-notes; complete distributions are made where abstracts would appear to suffice. Zraket reported verification indicating 95% of readership wanted complete reports. Forrester indicated that abstracts would be sufficient for his needs.

It was suggested that in case of doubt the abstract alone

This document is issued for internal distribution and use only by and for Lincoln Laboratory personnel. It should not be given or shown to any other individuals or groups without express authorization. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part without permission in writing from Lincoln Laboratory.

The research reported in this document was supported jointly by the Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, and the Department of the Air Force under Air Force Contract No. AF 19(122)-458.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, (Title 18 U. S. C. Sections 793 and 794); its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PL-BZ-21
Page 90
#458

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Memorandum 6L-248

Page 2

should be distributed. In turn Jacobs suggested further discussion with Proctor outside the meeting.

2. A-Memoranda

Proctor inquired opinion concerning use of A-Memoranda versus M-Memoranda.

It was decided to make no change and to continue to use A-Memoranda for administrative purposes only; the result will be that certain M-notes will continue to be nontechnical in character.

3. Group 67 Assembly Checkout Plans and Reorganization

Arnow discussed plans for assembly checkout and program shakedown. Analysis of the tasks of Group 61, 64, and 67 indicated the need for joint effort. Agreement was reached February 24 to proceed with a three-week study envisioning a project group with the following responsibilities:

- a. To produce parameter check programs,
- b. To write tests and analyze results during assembly checkout,
- c. Test operation (including arrangements for operators and external equipment),
- d. Scheduling and revision follow-up.

To implement this program, Shoolman will be transferred to assembly checkout. Shoolman will be replaced by Bailey, who will be replaced in turn by Haverty of RAND.

Jacobs stated that Group 61 will reduce efforts on operational specifications to bare minimum and help with the above program as much as possible. Zraket reported that Israel will act on the study committee in place of Ishihara, who is in Chicago.

Jacobs suggested it might be worthwhile for Morriss to furnish a representative to the study group to bring the McGuire viewpoint into the effort.

4. Lincoln-MIT Relationship

Brown inquired concerning previous discussion of this question; Forrester stated that Holloway and Valley had met with the Academic Council and reported a generally satisfactory discussion.

5. Rating Techniques

Taylor presented a proposed rating form developed by Taylor, Dodd, and Jacobs to supplement the numerical system previously used in

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

UNCLASSIFIED

Memorandum 6L-248

Page 3

the Division.

Taylor invited constructive criticism and suggested adoption of a form and procedure at next week's meeting.

6. Group Plans and Schedules

Dodd pointed out that plans of individual groups indicate increased manpower requirements for the Division. He asked that all group plans be submitted by the end of the week for consideration in connection with Morriss' meeting and for appraisal of manpower requirements at the Divisional level.

7. ESS Report

Zraket stated that a study had been made of Group 62, 64, 22 support required in ESS shakedown and evaluation activity. A total of 50 people is required; Group 61 will furnish the equivalent of 6 people (12 people half-time). These people will attend exercises and tests and will constitute a manpower pool with a detailed knowledge of operational specifications and sound judgment to evaluate the results of the tests.

8. AAOC

Fallows raised the question of weapons integration manpower in connection with AAOC.

Forrester voiced the hope that Martin or SCEL could furnish personnel.

Fallows is studying the problem and trying to put together a memorandum. Forrester suggested that Fallows continue with the study and bring in a memorandum for discussion with Forrester and Everett (also possibly Colonel Lee); Forrester mentioned General Partridge as a possible source of assistance.

9. Bendix--Air Traffic Control

Forrester reported cognizance last week from O'Neal and Morgan (also of the RTCA) of Bendix. Forrester reported that the discussion was at a down-to-earth level with recognition of the fact that it would be 1963 before SAGE could do anything useful in air traffic control.

10. Everett's Report on Trip

Everett presented a brief informal report of his recent trip to the West Coast.

CW Farr

C. W. Farr, Secretary

CWF:EH

UNCLASSIFIED

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~